

AO 247 (02/08) Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of New Jersey

United States of America)

v.)

COREY HANSFORD)

Case No: 2:01cr0249-01

USM No: 23940-050

Date of Previous Judgment: 12/31/02

Michael Pedicini, Esq.

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☐ DENIED. ☒ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 100 months is reduced to 84 months.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: 27

Amended Offense Level: 25

Criminal History Category: IV

Criminal History Category: IV

Previous Guideline Range: 100 to 125 months

Amended Guideline Range: 84 to 105 months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

☒ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.

☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.


☐ Other (explain):

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 12/23/2002 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 05/13/2008



Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Dennis M. Cavanaugh, U.S.D.J.

Printed name and title